A DETAILED REVIEW ON SLIDING MOTOR CONTROLLER FOR INDUCTION MOTOR DRIVE APPLICATIONS

Md. Fahad Kalam
Department of Electrical & Electronics Engg.
Vedica Institute of Technology, Bhopal, India

Chirag Gupta
Department of Electrical & Electronics Engg.
Vedica Institute of Technology, Bhopal, India

ABSTRACT

The motivation behind this paper is to audit the writings identified with the demonstrating of sliding mode control techniques of water- powered actuator frameworks proposed by different analysts to plan an elite nonlinear controller within sight of vulnerabilities. Sliding mode controller (SMC) is one of the strong nonlinear controllers which can be utilized as a part of unique nonlinear frameworks with vulnerability. Before the fundamental discourse, foundation data identified with the pressure driven actuators will be exhibited. This audit is finished up with a short synopsis and finish of water-powered actuators.

Keywords: *Hydraulic Actuator, Variable Structure Control, Terminal Sliding Mode, Integral Sliding Mode, Super Twisting Sliding Mode.*

1. INTRODUCTION

An Electro-Hydraulic Actuator (EHA) framework is one of the imperative drive frameworks in mechanical areas and most building rehearses because of its high capacity to weight proportion and firmness reaction being great, smooth and quick. As of late, with the innovative work of science, control hypothesis, PC innovation, electronic innovation and fundamental hypothesis of power through pressure, water driven control innovation has been created and utilized generally in numerous applications, for example, producing frameworks, material testing machines, dynamic suspension frameworks, mining hardware, weariness testing, flight recreation, paper machines, ships and electromagnetic marine designing, infusion forming machines, apply autonomy, and steel and aluminum process equipment [1]. Because of its applications, the most noteworthy execution of the electro-water powered actuators as far as position, power or weight is required. Be that as it may, the framework is verynonlinear and non differentiable because of numerous variables, for example, spillage, grating, and particularly, the liquid stream articulation through the servo valve [2]. In this paper, an audit of sliding mode control (SMC) for EHA has been presented. Compare to others paper review, the main issues surmounted by each types of sliding mode control are also highlighted. The main body of the review deals with (II) hydraulic actuator motivation, (III) modeling of electro-hydraulic actuator, (IV) Sliding Mode Control, (V) Sliding Mode Control Implementation in EHA System and the final part (VI) is the short summary and conclusion.

2. MOTIVATION

Pressure driven actuators are utilized as a part of numerous building applications due its excess (high capacity to-weight proportion, quick and smooth reaction, high solidness and great situating ability), in this way, creating propelled control techniques for these frameworks is relevant [3]. This has made the water driven actuator a focal point of study whereby an assortment of control calculations have been proposed with a specific end goal to conquer its nonlinear unique conduct. The water powered actuator framework is the most suitable decision for a functioning suspension system [4], because of its low development and support cost with the high capacity to-weight proportion. The water driven actuator framework likewise can create an extensive power and torque in any system [5]. A portion of the cases of pressure driven actuator framework application that require expansive power and torque are electro-water powered situating framework, mechanical water powered machines [6], robot controllers [7] and water powered elevators [8]. Because of high accuracy position controllers, water powered actuator frameworks are connected in specific assembling gear or test hardware, for example, straightforward shear mechanical assembly used for soil testing [9]. In spite of the fact that there are various focal points for applications that use pressure driven actuator frameworks, there are a few shortcomings that muddle the advancement of water driven actuator framework controller as the framework is

a highly nonlinear system. Aside from the nonlinear behaviour, the hydraulic actuator system also suffers from a large extent of model uncertainties. The uncertainties can be classified into two main groups which are parametric uncertainties and uncertain nonlinearities. Examples of parametric uncertainties are large variations in load and hydraulic parameters such as bulk modulus due to component wear or temperature change. Meanwhile, external disturbance, leakage and friction are called uncertain nonlinearities [10]. These uncertainties can cause the hydraulic actuator

system controller to be unstable or to have degradation in its performance.

3. MODELLING OF EHA

The water driven actuator can be displayed from hypothetical numerical examination or framework recognizable proof. Numerous methodologies have been proposed for water driven actuator displaying. Framework displaying can be founded on the arrangement of physical law or framework ID technique which is formally known as discovery distinguishing proof. Playing out an arrangement of physical law requires master information and comprehension about the framework itself. This area shows the audit of the hypothetical scientific model of EHA.

The actuator dynamic equation of electro-hydraulic actuator servo system is expressed as [11]

$$m\ddot{x} = SP_L - fx_p - kx_p - F_L \quad (1)$$

Where, m is load at the rod of the system, x_p is the displacement of the piston, P_L is the difference in pressure between two chambers, k is the coefficient of aerodynamic elastic force, is the coefficient of viscous friction, S is the piston area and F_L is the external disturbance injected into the system's actuator. With the assumption that a high-response servo valve is used in the system, the control applied to the spool valve is proportional to the spool position. Its equation is given as:

$$x_v = k_v u \quad (2)$$

Where x_v is the opening of the valve, k_v is the coefficient of the servo valve and u is the input voltage.

Assume that the system is a symmetrical cylinder, therefore, both piston area and volume for each port are similar. Thus, the dynamics of cylinder oil flow can be expressed as follows:

$$Q_L = \dot{P}_L + \frac{2\beta s}{v} \dot{x}_p \quad (3)$$

Where Q_L is the difference between supplied flow rate to the chambers, v is the volume of the chamber and is the effective bulk modulus of the fluid. Thus the difference of the flow rate to the chambers is given as:

$$Q_L = \frac{2\beta s}{v}\left[c_d w x_v \sqrt{\frac{P_a - P_L}{\rho}} - k_l P_L\right] \quad (4)$$

Where c_d is the coefficient of the volumetric flow

$$\ddot{x}_p = \frac{s}{m} P_L - \frac{f}{m}\dot{x}_p - \frac{k}{m} x_p - \frac{F_L}{m} \quad (5)$$

$$x_2 = \frac{s}{m}P_L - \frac{f}{m}\dot{x}_p - \frac{k}{m}x_p - \frac{F_L}{m} \quad (6)$$

$$x_3 = \dot{P}_L \quad (7)$$

$$P_L = Q_L - \frac{2\beta s}{v}\dot{x}_p \quad (8)$$

Substituting (4) into (10), thus (10) becomes

$$\dot{P}_L = \frac{2\beta s}{v} c_d w \sqrt{\frac{P_a - P_L}{\rho}}\, x - \frac{2\beta}{v} k_l P_L - \frac{2\beta s}{v} x_p \quad (9)$$

Figure 1 shows a schematic diagram of another example of a single rod, single ended hydraulic cylinder similar as that in [12]. The electro-hydraulic actuator system modelled in this paper consists of two main parts, i.e. the valve and the cylinder. The cylinder is modelled as a double acting single rod or single-ended piston, with a single load attached at the end of the piston.

$$x_2 = \frac{k}{m}x_1 - \frac{f}{m}x_2 - \frac{s}{m}x_3 - \frac{F_L}{m} \quad (10)$$

$$x_3 = -\frac{s}{k_c}x_2 - \frac{f}{m}x_p - \frac{k}{m}x_p - \frac{F_L}{m} \quad (11)$$

$$x_{3\times1} = A_{3\times3}x_{3\times1} + B_{3\times1}u + C_{3\times1} \quad (12)$$

$$x = [x_1 \quad x_2 \quad x_3]^T \quad (13)$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -3030.3 & -83.3 & 30.3 \\ 0 & -40000 & -9.52 \end{bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

Therefore, the force balance equation of the cylinder is represented by where AP is the cross section of the hydraulic cylinder, and Pl is the cylinder differential pressure written as:

$$u_v = \frac{1}{k_v}\left[\frac{1}{W_v^2}\ddot{x}_v + \frac{2DR_v}{W_v}\dot{X}_v + X_v\right] \quad (15)$$

Equation (18) represents the dynamics of the system. The load pressure Pl is defined to be the

$$Q_L = C_d W X_v \sqrt{\frac{P_s - sgn(X_v)\, P_t}{\rho}} \quad (16)$$

pressure across the actuator piston, the derivative of the load pressure is given by the total load flow through the actuator divided by the fluid capacitance as:

$$u_v = \frac{1}{k_v}\left[\frac{1}{W_v^2}\ddot{X}_v + \frac{2DR_v}{W_v}\dot{X}_v + X_v\right] \quad (17)$$

The corresponding relation can be simplified into: introduces undesired high frequency oscillations or chattering, due to discontinuous switching function (signum) which causes control signal to oscillate around the sliding mode surface. The chattering results in excessive wear and tear of *m*.

$$\dot{x}_3 = a_3 = \frac{1}{m}(A_p P_l - F_f) \quad (18)$$

4. SLIDING MODE CONTROL

The initial steps of the sliding mode control hypothesis started in mid 1950 started by S.V. Emelynov. It is begun as Variable Structure Control (VSC). Before all else, a direct second request framework demonstrated was considered as a plant in stage variable shape and the directions dependably advance toward a contiguous district with an alternate control structure as satisfying the outline prerequisite of the numerous control structures [13][14]. The most noteworthy preferred standpoint of SMC is that once the conditions of the framework come to the predefined sliding surface, the framework conduct depends neither on the framework parameters nor the aggravations. This is the purpose behind the sliding mode control vigor [15][16][17].

$$s = \dot{x} + \alpha x + \beta x^{\frac{q}{p}} = 0 \quad (19)$$

The design procedure of SMC consists of defining the sliding mode surface passing through the origin of phase plane to reduce the mistake equivalent to zero and deciding the proportional and exchanging control laws [18]. The execution of where x is scalar factors are constants and q, p(p/q) are odd positive whole numbers. In any case, TSM controllers experience the ill effects of the natural peculiarity issue, which implies that the control exertion requires to be unbounded to ensure the reachability of the pre-chosen TSM manifolds.

Advanced control innovation has developed consideration of scientists towards plan and usage of discrete-time sliding mode control (DSMC) technique [19][9][3][1]. Nonetheless, the intermittent term in discrete time control law presents babbling as well as may prompt flimsiness of frameworks in view of the testing rate far from being vastness, which can be settled by keeping broken term little. More than that, the DSMC requires process model to determine the control law.

Essential Sliding Mode Control (ISMC) is exhibited in [2], in which the request of the movement condition is equivalent to the request of the first framework, as opposed to decreased by the quantity of control inputs. The upsides of ISMC contrasted with SMC is that the strength are a certification for any underlying state condition, beginning from the underlying time occurrence and since the request of the framework has not changed, the execution detail of the framework can be utilized as a guide for planning sliding surface. ISMC additionally was known as Full-Order Sliding Mode Control in and [4]. In [5], the method of planning the exchanging surface has been depicted for direct time-invariant frameworks by the situation of eigenvalues through the arrangement of Ackermann's condition. The plan methodology is characterized regarding the first framework instead of as far as thesliding mode conditions.

The super-turning control law (STW) is a standout amongst the most great second- arrange nonstop sliding mode control calculations that handle a relative degree equivalent to one [6]. It creates the constant control work that drives the sliding variable and its subsidiary to zero in limited time within the sight of the smooth coordinated unsettling influences with limited slope when this limit is known. Since STW calculation contains an intermittent capacity under the indispensable, prattling isn't disposed of yet decreased. The learning of the limits of the aggravation slope is required in STW. In numerous functional cases, this limit can't be effortlessly assessed. The overestimating of the unsettling influence limit respects bigger than would normally be appropriate control picks up, while planning the STW control law. The versatile pick up STW (ASTW) control law, which handles the annoyed plant elements with the added substance unsettling influence or vulnerability of certain class with the obscure limit, was proposed in [7][8] to beat this downside.

5. IMPLEMENTATION IN EHA

This segment will give a concise dialog on the sliding mode controller and controller strategies joined with SMC that have been utilized or proposed as water driven actuator framework controllers. SMC is perceived as a standout amongst the most potential methodologies in nonlinear control field and has been demonstrated to take care of the issue of keeping up the steadiness for controlling electrohydraulic actuator frameworks that are subjected to parameter varieties and outside disturbances [9]. Notwithstanding, as said before in Section 4, the framework vigor isn't guaranteed until the point when the sliding mode is come to. The principle downside of SMC is the gabbing marvel which can energize bothersome high-recurrence progression in position trajectory [4][5]. Additionally, issues identifying with improvement of outline methods, control execution upgrade in the achieving mode, and prattling lightening stay to be completely explored [6]. Variable structure control (VSC) has been considered as an elective control law for water driven servo frameworks. A VSC with a sliding mode is based on for the most part two stages which are legitimate decision of sliding surface and the

decision of achieving law which authorizes the shut circle direction to achieve the complex asymptotically [16]. Mohamed A. Ghazy [17] built up a VSC with achieving law for an electro-water driven position servo control framework to accomplish exact servo following inside seeing burden irritation and plant parameter variety while for Bonchis et al.[15], within the sight of critical grating nonlinearities. The proposed control method with achieving law accomplishes a zero enduring state blunder for step contribution with great powerful transient without gabbing in the control input. In [16], the comparative controller is additionally intended for the framework by considering knots rubbing and load as an outside unsettling influence to the framework. This work speaks to the contact for the withdrawal and expansion movement of the framework. VSC was additionally proposed to beat stack aggravation and plant parameter variety for electrohydraulic position servo framework [14]. This work thinks about steady an incentive as an unsettling influence on the framework. Y. Liu
[17] examines that the sliding mode control technique is appropriate for taking care of the control issue of pressure driven position servos (HPS) with the adaptable load. Be that as it may, the examination considers the reference show with an adaptable load to be dealt with as nonlinearities. The reenactment and exploratory outcomes are thought about by surveying the execution of the planned controller for the framework by methods for giving advance and sinusoidal info reference. The outcomes demonstrated that a sliding mode controller is firmly hearty in keeping up a similar level of dynamic execution of the concentrated servo frameworks. In [18] and [19], another type of sliding mode control is intended for the position following of electro- water powered servo frameworks. Another sliding mode control with differing limit layers is proposed to enhance the following execution of a nonlinear electro-water powered position servo framework, which can be found in numerous assembling gadgets. The proposed control plot utilizes differing limit layers rather than settled limit layers, which are normally utilized in customary sliding mode control.

Fluffy control utilizing etymological data has a few focal points, for example, being sans model, strong, applying all-inclusive estimate hypothesis and lead-based calculation. Nonetheless, the enormous measure of fluffy guidelines for higher request frameworks makes the examination more mind-boggling. In this manner, a few analysts proposed fluffy sliding mode controllers (FSMC), which incorporated fluffy set hypothesis and SMC into the controller configuration to secure solidness and steady execution. Be that as it may, it is difficult to set up the correct fluffy run the show. In [11] and [10], the variable universe fluffy self-getting the hang of sliding mode control (FSSMC) is proposed to defeat this issue. Fei Cao [14] presented a variable universe versatile fluffy control. It can alter the universes of information factors and the participation elements of the conclusion part in rules online. It guarantees the kinematical state parameters to outperform the sliding mode surface at a low speed along these lines giving the likelihood to limit jabbering. While in [15], a versatile fluffy controller with on-line self- tuning fluffy sliding-mode remuneration (AFC-STFSMC) is proposed. This control system utilizes the versatile fluffy guess strategy to outline the comparable controller of the traditional sliding-mode control (SMC). Moreover, the fluffy sliding-mode control conspire with self-tuning capacity is acquainted with make up for the guess mistake of the equal controller for enhancing the control execution.

Meng Jun Xia [16] composed a fluffy sliding mode controller, which utilizes a fluffy rationale to acquire proportionate control motion after the framework states achieved sliding complex. High-recurrence prattling caused by exchanging activity is debilitated successfully and the proportional control can limit obscure outside unsettling influences well. To plan a decent fluffy controller without proficient experience, participation elements of the yield etymological variable and control rules are streamlined by methods for a hereditary calculation all the while. Miroslav Mihajlov [17] introduced the joining of fluffy sliding mode and PI controller. This sort of fluffy controller has been eased the issues in figuring the exchanging pickup and enhance working productivity within the sight of extra outer aggravation that isn't considered in the outline for SMC. In this exploration, the fluffy PI controller has included the feedforward branch of the shut circle, in parallel with the SMC with settled limit layers. The model of the framework secured un modeled elements, the parameter of vulnerabilities and LuGre grinding as outside unsettling influences. Notwithstanding the regular nonlinearities that start from the compressibility of the water driven liquid and valve stream weight properties, most electro-pressure driven frameworks are likewise subjected to hard nonlinearities, for example, no man's lands because of the valve spool cover. The nearness of a no man's land can prompt execution debasement of the controller and farthest point cycles or even shakiness in the shut circle framework. To conquer this issue, a versatile fluffy sliding mode controller is produced [13] and [14]. The proposed configuration weakens the babbling issue while saving quick joining. In correlation with other known fluffy rationale based ways to deal with take out the gabbing issue the proposed technique is more straightforward and interpretable.

The sliding mode control strategy joined with versatile technique has been proposed by Cheng Guan [15] and Lu Xinliang Jia [16]. In [15], the adjustment laws were added to make up for the framework indeterminate nonlinearities, direct questionable parameters, and particularly for the nonlinear unverifiable parameters caused by the different sorts of the first control volumes. The proposed control technique and adjustment plans can acquire great execution when the position directions are followed even with nonlinear questionable parameters. Ning-Bo Cheng [17] proposed a position controller to deal with three issues in the control of an electro-water powered servo framework. The initial two issues are about the nonlinearities and the vulnerabilities of the electro-water driven servo framework, and the third one is the jabbering issue caused by receiving sliding mode control. The proposed controller is known as a Switch Controller, for it controls the yield switches between the yields of two different controllers, i.e. a nonlinear controller and a regular direct controller. The nonlinear controller is really a nonlinear sliding mode controller and is engaged to manage nonlinearities and vulnerabilities. The straight controller is intended to enhance the transient execution close to the unfaltering state.

From the writing, it can be presumed that sliding mode control is a huge nonlinear controller. Notwithstanding, broken control activity on SMC comes about babbling marvel which lessens following exactness of the EHA framework. Subsequently, the reasonable strategy ought to be proposed to dispense with babbling wonder. One approach to lessen gabbing is to utilize the limit layer system where the sign capacity is supplanted with an immersion work and the sliding capacity.

Be that as it may, this strategy corrupts heartiness of the controller. In light of the necessities of research around there, ideal super curving sliding mode control is the reasonable strategy to conquer the jabbering issues. From the writing, a methodical strategy for acquiring the exchanging vector and ideal criticism of the SMC was not examined. The parameters were chosen by utilizing experimentation strategy. The propose strategy plans the outline of Super Twisting Sliding Mode Control as an enhancement issue and use Partical Swarm and Gravitional Search Algorithm Optimization calculation to locate the ideal input picks up and exchanging vector estimations of the controller. Two execution capacities will be utilized as a part of the improvement procedure to exhibit the framework dynamical execution and SMC prattling decrease. The adequacy of the SM controller coordinated with two distinctive enhancement strategy will be thought about as far as following mistake and the reaction of the framework's yield.

5. CONCLUSION

Position following execution of an electro-pressure driven actuator can be guaranteed when its power and following exactness are ensured. The presence of grating, inner spillage and other framework practices may cause the debasement of strength and following exactness. There are numerous works in planning SMC of EHA framework already in light of persistent time. As a regular sliding mode controller is extremely influenced by babbling, the GSA-STSMC and PSO-STSMC has been proposed. Two execution capacities will be utilized as a part of the enhancement procedure Apart from the prattling wonder, bring down control energy consumption is another drawback for future investigation.

REFERENCES:

[1] R. Ghazali, Y. M. Sam, and M. F. Rahmat,“ Simulation and Experimental Studies on Perfect Tracking Optimal Control of an Eledrohydraulic Actuator System,” *J. Control Sci. Eng.*, pp. 1–8, 2012.

[2] C. Kaddissi and J. Kenn, “Identification and Real-Time Control of an Electrohydraulic Servo System Based on Nonlinear Backstepping,” *IEEE Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 12–22, 2007.

[3] W. Kim, D. Won, and D. Shin, “Output feedback nonlinear control for electro- hydraulic systems,” *Mechatronics*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 766–777, 2012.

[4] P. Taylor, P. Chen, and A. Huang, “Sliding control of active suspension systems with uncertain hydraulic actuator dynamics,” *Veh. Syst. Dyn. Int. J. Veh. Mech. Adapt.*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 357–368, 2012.

[5] H. S. Kim, K. Lee, and Y. M. Cho, “Robust two-stage non-linear control of a hydraulic servo-system,” *Int. J. Control*, vol. 75, no. 7, p.p 502–516, 2002.

[6] M. H. Chiang and C. C. Huang, “Experimental implementation of complex path tracking control for large robotic hydraulic excavators,” *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.*, vol. 23, no. 1–2, pp. 126–132, Jan. 2004.

[7] J. W. Raade and H. Kazerooni, “Analysis and Design of a Novel Hydraulic Power Source for Mobile Robots,” *IEEE Trans. Autom. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 226– 232, Jul. 2005.

[8] D. Sha, V. B. Bajic, and H. Yang, “New model and sliding mode control of hydraulic elevator velocity tracking system,” *Simul. Pract. Theory*, vol. 9, no. 6–8, pp. 365– 385, May 2002.

[9] H. Angue Mintsa, R. Venugopal, and J.-P. Kenne, “Feedback Linearization-Based Position Control of an Electrohydraulic Servo System With Supply PressureUncertainty,” *IEEE Trans. Control Syst. Technol.*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 1092–1099, Jul. 2012.

[10] K. K. Ahn, D. Ngoc, C. Nam, and M. Jin, “Adaptive Backstepping Control of an Electrohydraulic Actuator,” *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, pp. 1–9, 2013.

[11] I. Ursu, F. Ursu, and F. Popescu, “Backstepping design for controlling electrohydraulic servos,” *J. Franklin Inst.*, vol. 343, no. 1, pp. 94–110, Jan. 2006.

[12] D. M. Wonohadidjojo and M. Y. Hassan, “Position Control of Electro-hydraulic Actuator System Using Fuzzy Logic Controller Optimized by Particle Swarm Optimization,” *Int. J. Autom. Comput.*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 181–193, 2013.

[13] J. Y. Hung, W. Gao, and J. C. Hung, “Variable Structure Control: A Survey,” *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 40, no. 1, 2– 22, 1993.

[14] Modarres and H. Momeni, “Unknown input sliding-mode observer design for a drum- type boiler,” *J. Theor. Appl. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 11–16, 2011.

[15] X. Su, W. E. I. Li, and Q. Fan, “Sliding Mode Robustness Control Strategy of Shearer Height Adjusting System Underground Coal Mines,” *J. Theor. Appl. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 304–308, 2013.

[16] C. Vázquez, J. Collado, and L. Fridman, “Super twisting control of a parametrically excited overhead crane,” *J. Franklin Inst.*, vol. 351, pp. 2283–2298, 2014.